

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)
	10/521,948		ZHANG ET AL.
	Examiner		Art Unit
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.		1626

All Participants:

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(2) Carol J. Roth (Reg. No. 32,783).

Date of Interview: 15 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

80

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Thursday, July 15, 2010 @12:49pm and left a voice mail message requesting that the "or" on the next to the last line of claim 80 be changed to an "and" for proper Markush language format. Applicant's representative called the Examiner @ 1:06pm of same day and gave the Examiner permission to make the change to claim 80.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Laura L. Stockton/

Status of Application: 80

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:49pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)